


respectfully traverses this rejection. Delorme is directed towards the mating between “desktop and handheld devices”, where the handheld device may optionally be linked to a GPS receiver. (See, e.g., Col. 4, lns. 21-27). This is in contrast to the claimed vehicle navigation system, wherein the navigation system (and not the organizer device) includes route and position determining facilities and the organizer device stores personal time and place schedules. DeLorme does not disclose, teach or suggest such a system. Accordingly, for this reason alone, Delorme does not anticipate the currently claimed invention. Moreover, any bi-directional communication disclosed in DeLorme appears to be between an organizer device and a desktop computer, and not between an organizer device and a vehicle navigation system, as claimed. This additional fact distinguishes the claimed invention from DeLorme. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejections of claims 1-26 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b).

### **CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, and in view of the remarks set forth above, Applicant respectfully submits that the application and the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully requests favorable consideration and the timely allowance of all pending claims. If, for any reason, the application and claims are not in condition for allowance, or any additional information is required, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (312) 701-8738. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees (or credit any overpayment) associated with this communication to our Deposit Account No. 13-0019. If a fee is required for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 not accounted for above, such extension is requested and should also be charged to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully Submitted

  
Douglas M. Eveleigh  
Reg. No. 43,426

Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP  
P.O. Box 2828  
Chicago, IL 60690-2822

Dated: September 3, 2003